

## CES IDG Meeting

8/27/15

In attendance: Cathy ten Broeke, Patty Beech, Zachary Hylton, Matt White, Lisa Koenig, Justin Vorbach, Jen Romero, Francie Mathis, Jim Anderson, Carla Solem, Matthew Ayres, AgHuot, Laura DeRosier, Eric Grumdahl, Joel Salzer, Michele Reid, Mike Manhard, Teresa Howard

1. Welcome/Introductions
2. Report on status of CES workgroup planning

Discussion	Actions Identified
Meetings have been very productive. Went through checklist of what CoCs need to go through to be “READY” to start by 10/1. Broken out into may/must/should. Have revised Policies and Procedures Questions bank/core questions. We still have not heard back from many CoC regions.	CoC Coordinators, please compare the question bank to your assessment questions.

3. Approve Statewide Strategic Plan

Discussion	Actions Identified
Strategic Plan Handout provided (revision history) All revisions to strategic plan are in grey scale Matt walked through changes that have been made since the last review. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Added 4<sup>th</sup> stage of assessments (re-assessment). Some discussion regarding what tool should be used for this 4<sup>th</sup> stage.</li> <li>o Re-classifying transition in place TH to RRH</li> <li>o Seeking feedback from constituents outside of usual suspects (Olmstead, DV, etc)</li> <li>o Data sharing can only occur with written consent (ROI). Currently additional concerns about homeless youth (RHYA) with ability to consent as minors.</li> </ul>	The IDG moved to adopt the Strategic Plan as written understanding it as a living document.
Policies and Procedures Handout provided Matt walked through key areas (living document) Framework for what will need to be developed locally Prioritization standards—concern about how to balance existing priorities with new structure for priorities. Seeking clarification on this. How do we ensure flexibility to accommodate existing local priority standards with these standards? Can we amend the language	The IDG moved to adopt the Policies and Procedures as written understanding it as a living document.  Workgroup will draft a letter to stakeholders across the state to accompany the two documents.
Documents should come out from this body.	

4. Approve Implementation Plan (handout)

Discussion	Actions Identified
<p>Matt explained implementation plan (checklist)                      Only one month away.                      Separated into Must/Should/May                      Grouped by elements of CE taken from Strategic Plan                      Intentionally omitted HMIS due to level of detail that is needed and feasibility of HMIS implementation by 10/1                      HMIS implementation is far off in the distance, what is our strategy in the meantime—interim solution that will allow more flexibility                      Is this sufficient to tell CoC stakeholders what they need to be doing over the next 30 days?</p>	<p>CoCs report back, based upon your review of the checklist, what is your ability to meet must/should items by 10/1</p> <p>The IDG moved to adopt the Implementation Plan</p>

5. CES/HMIS Planning

Discussion	Actions Identified
<p>Lisa and Colleen led discussion                      Both have been seeking to develop a prioritization process. Prioritize the things that need most to get done, create common understanding regarding when it can get done                      Wilder has reported significant deficit in being able to perform all tasks needed as part of system admin                      In addition to CE, many other mandated/compliance issues                      CE is still a priority for continued work. It is an enormous project—bigger than re-structure project                      Not all information has been provided to Wilder to implement CE in HMIS. Since each CoC has different processes, requires a ton of time.                      Is there an interim strategy?                      Compliance needs will continue to be met by Wilder                      Need to start triaging functions, not projects. What can be augmented locally, at Wilder?                      Bowman has waitlist structures. Not that complex.</p>	<p>Matthew will convene a group to move plans forward with CE in HMIS.</p>

6. Future IDG discussion items

Discussion	Actions Identified
<p>CES Participation agreements (September)                      CES Project Performance Outcome Targets (September)                      CES Shared Governance Strategy (October)</p>	